ROAD FUND ADMINISTRATION

Procurement No: SC/RP/RFA – 14/2020

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

15 FEBRUARY 2021

Road Fund Administration
21 Feld Street, Ausspannplatz
Private Bag 13372
Windhoek, Namibia
Tel: +264 61 433 3049
Fax: +264 61 433 3070
E-mail : procurement@rfanam.com.na

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FOR THE BID EVALUATION REPORT_CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR THE DESIGN, SPECIFICATIONS AND SUPERVISIONS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A CONSERVANCY TANK AT NOORDOEWER, KATIMA MULILO AND NGOMA

Procurement Reference no: SC/RP/RFA – 14/2020

1. SCOPE OF CONTRACT

The Design and supervise the implementation of Conservancy tanks

Procurement method used: Request for Proposals

Date of Invitation of Bids: 3rd December 2020

Closing date for submission of bids 18th January 2021

Date and place of opening of bids: 2nd Floor Boardroom, RFA Office, 21 Feld Street

Number of bids received by closing date: 4 bids

Responsiveness of bids: The tables below outline the relevant documentation that is required for compliance.

2. ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE

#	DESCRIPTION	Dunamis	Wana Engineering Consulting	Ekwafo Consulting Engineers	Artee Project Engineers
		Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N
1	Number of documents Provided - 1 original and 3 copies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Bidder has submitted the duly filled in, signed, stamped, and dated Bid Submission Sheet Form;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (not signed)
3	Bidder submitted Written statement by a power of attorney (or notary statement, etc.) proving that the person, who signed the bid on behalf of the company/joint venture/consortium, is duly authorized to do so.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Bid is written in English language	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	OVERALL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**

3. LEGAL COMPLIANCE

#	DESCRIPTION	Dunamis	Wana Engineering Consulting	Ekwafo Consulting Engineers	Artee Project Engineers
		Y/N	Y/N	Y/N	Y/N
1	Bidder has submitted a company Registration Certificate;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Bidder has an original valid good Standing Tax Certificate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Bidder has an original valid good Standing Social Security Certificate;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	Bidder have a valid certified copy of Affirmative Action Compliance Certificate, proof from Employment Equity Commissioner that bidder is not a relevant employer, or exemption issued in terms of Section 42 of the Affirmative Action Act, 1998;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	Professional Indemnity Form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
6	Bid Security/Bid Securing Declaration	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	OVERALL LEGAL COMPLIANCE	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**

4. TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE

#	DESCRIPTION	Dunamis	Wana Engineering Consulting	Ekwafo Consulting Engineers	Artee Project Engineers
1	Bidder has provided in the Bid Submission Sheet Form the Statement attesting the origin of the Goods and Related Services offered;				
1.1	Form 1 – Bid submission form	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (Not signed)
1.2	Form 2 – CV's of Consultants	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
1.3	Form 3 – Assignment of similar nature	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	OVERALL TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**	**Yes**

After the eligibility process was concluded, all the bidders were compliant in terms of the eligibility requirements as per the bid document.

5. TECHNICAL EVALUATION

The technical evaluation outcome was a per the table below:

Name of Consultant	TECHNICAL SCORE (TS)
	70
Wana Engineering Consulting	45,07
Dunamis Consulting Engineers	60,65
Ekwafo Consulting Engineers	65,33
Artee Project Engineers	44,50

Only bidders scoring the required 70%, which is ($\frac{49}{70}$), where considered for their financial offers.

Thus, the two bidders that did not meet the minimum required score, are;

a) Wana Engineering Consulting and
b) Artee Project Engineers.

From the proposals submitted by the bidders above, Wana and Artee, there was insufficient information submitted pertaining to some of the requirements of procurement as per the bid document; as a result, they did not reach the minimum score of 70% to proceed to Financial Evaluation.

The Financial Offers of the following companies were opened in the presence of the BEC Members:

a) Dunamis Consulting Engineers
b) Ekwafo Consulting Engineers

6. THE FINAL SCORES

The final scores (financial and technical evaluation scores) including the ratings are indicated in the table below:

Name of Consultant	TECHNICAL SCORE (TS)	FINANCIAL SCORE (PS)	FINAL SCORE (FS)	RATING
	70	30	100	
Dunamis Consulting Engineers	60,65	30,00	90,65	1
Ekwafo Consulting Engineers	65,33	19,15	84,48	2

7. BEST EVALUATED BID

The best evaluated Bid for the Project was Dunamis Consulting Engineers and Project Managers (Pty) Ltd.